Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/767,011	WANI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Monica A. Huson	1732
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>		
(1) <u>Monica A. Huson</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Jonathan Morse</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 9 November 2006	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 35 USC 103(a): Ackeret, Savonuzzi		
Claims discussed: 1-16		
Prior art documents discussed: Ackeret, Savonuzzi	·	
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
•		
*		
Mance Dymon Har		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant)	Applicant's Representative Signature	nature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

Amendments to claim 12 were proposed in order to clarify that the sheet material is a laminate of metal or wood, an adhesive layer, and a protective backing layer. The examiner believes this clarification overcomes the combination of Ackeret and Savonuzzi due to the differences in the respective sheet materials of the references. Withdrawn claims 1-6 will be cancelled, and editorial amendments will be made to claim 7. See attached Examiner's Amendment for full write-up.